

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR      | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/749,925  | 12/31/2003     | Pavel Petrovich Pivovarov | HERR 20.844<br>(100700-00114 | 6679             |
| 26304 75  | 590 03/01/2006 |                           | EXAMINER                     |                  |
| KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP<br>575 MADISON AVENUE<br>NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585 |                |                           | PADEN, CAROLYN A             |                  |
|   |                |                           | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ·   |                |                           | 1761                         |                  |
|   |                |                           |                              |                  |

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/749,925   | PIVOVAROV ET AL.  |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Carolyn A. Paden   | 1761  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication<br>Period for Reply  | appears on the cover sheet wi  | th the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearmed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r h. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB | CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1  | 2 January 2006.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allo  | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice und  | er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D   | . 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction are   | drawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the   | accepted or b) objected to lead on the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing  | ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a   | nents have been received.  nents have been received in A  priority documents have been  reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | pplication No received in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>  |  | ummary (PTO-413)<br>)/Mail Date   |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   |  | formal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Niki et al (4,181,749) for reasons of record used in rejecting claims 1-5 in the last office action.

Applicant argues that Antarctic krill is required in the present process. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive. Krill is a named fish choice in Niki at column 2, line 1. The fact that there are no examples showing krill is not seen to overcome the rejection. Applicant argues that Niki does not show separating krill into a liquid fraction and a dense fraction. This is disagreed with because this feature is shown in the first paragraph of Example 1. Applicant argues that water is added to the fish so that it is not biological water. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive. Firstly, if one wanted to extract water-soluble ingredients from fish it would be obvious to included added water to enhance the extent of extraction of these ingredients. Second, the claims as written do not exclude the use of added water to extract "biological water" from the fish.

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant argues that his process does not include mincing the fish. But the claims do not exclude mincing. Mincing would have been an obvious way to enhance the efficiency of extraction in the fish. Applicants arguments concerning the applicability of the reference to Antarctic krill are not persuasive, given the naming of krill as a fish source in Niki, as discussed above. Applicant argues that additives are employed in his invention. But Niki employs salt and sugar as an additive. Applicant argues that the fractions used in Niki are different from the fractions used in the claimed invention. This argument has been considered but is not persuasive.

The rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112 has been dropped in response to applicants arguments relating to the claims.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory

Application/Control Number: 10/749,925

Art Unit: 1761

period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

Application/Control Number: 10/749,925 Page 5

Art Unit: 1761

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CAROLYN PADEN 2-33-06
PRIMARY EXAMINEP 1761